

A Systematic Review on the Pharmacokinetics of Cannabidiol in Humans

Sophie A. Millar^{1*}, Nicole L. Stone¹, Andrew S. Yates² and Saoirse E. O'Sullivan¹

¹ Division of Medical Sciences and Graduate Entry Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Royal Derby Hospital, Derby, United Kingdom, ² Artelo Biosciences, San Diego, CA, United States

Background: Cannabidiol is being pursued as a therapeutic treatment for multiple conditions, usually by oral delivery. Animal studies suggest oral bioavailability is low, but literature in humans is not sufficient. The aim of this review was to collate published data in this area.

Methods: A systematic search of PubMed and EMBASE (including MEDLINE) was conducted to retrieve all articles reporting pharmacokinetic data of CBD in humans.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Thomas Dorlo, The Netherlands Cancer Institute (NKI), Netherlands

Reviewed by:

Constantin Mircioiu, Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania Pius Sedowhe Fasinu, Campbell University, United States

> *Correspondence: *Sophie A. Millar stxsamil@nottingham.ac.uk*

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Drug Metabolism and Transport, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

Received: *19 September 2018* Accepted: *07 November 2018* Published: *26 November 2018*

Citation:

Millar SA, Stone NL, Yates AS and O'Sullivan SE (2018) A Systematic Review on the Pharmacokinetics of Cannabidiol in Humans. Front. Pharmacol. 9:1365. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2018.01365 **Results:** Of 792 articles retireved, 24 included pharmacokinetic parameters in humans. The half-life of cannabidiol was reported between 1.4 and 10.9h after oromucosal spray, 2–5 days after chronic oral administration, 24 h after i.v., and 31 h after smoking. Bioavailability following smoking was 31% however no other studies attempted to report the absolute bioavailability of CBD following other routes in humans, despite i.v formulations being available. The area-under-the-curve and C_{max} increase in dose-dependent manners and are reached quicker following smoking/inhalation compared to oral/oromucosal routes. C_{max} is increased during fed states and in lipid formulations. T_{max} is reached between 0 and 4 h.

Conclusions: This review highlights the paucity in data and some discrepancy in the pharmacokinetics of cannabidiol, despite its widespread use in humans. Analysis and understanding of properties such as bioavailability and half-life is critical to future therapeutic success, and robust data from a variety of formulations is required.

Keywords: pharmacokinetics, endocannabinoid system, bioavailability, CMAX, TMAX, half life, plasma clearance, volume of distribution

INTRODUCTION

The Cannabis sativa plant contains more than a hundred phytocannabinoid compounds, including the non-psychotomimetic compound cannabidiol (CBD) (Izzo et al., 2009). CBD has attracted significant interest due to its anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidative and anti-necrotic protective effects, as well as displaying a favorable safety and tolerability profile in humans (Bergamaschi et al., 2011), making it a promising candidate in many therapeutic avenues including epilepsy, Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, and multiple sclerosis. GW pharmaceuticals have developed an oral solution of pure CBD (Epidiolex[®]) for the treatment of severe, orphan, early-onset, treatment-resistant epilepsy syndromes, showing significant reductions in seizure frequency compared to placebo in several trials (Devinsky et al., 2017, 2018a; Thiele et al., 2018). Epidiolex® has recently received US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval (GW Pharmaceuticals, 2018). CBD is also being pursued in clinical trials in Parkinson's disease, Crohn's disease, society anxiety disorder, and schizophrenia (Crippa et al., 2011; Leweke et al., 2012; Chagas et al., 2014; Naftali et al., 2017), showing promise in these areas. Additionally, CBD is widely used as a popular food supplement in a variety of formats for a range of complaints. It is estimated that the CBD market will grow to \$2.1 billion in the US market in consumer sales by 2020 (Hemp Business, 2017).

From previous investigations including animal studies, the oral bioavailability of CBD has been shown to be very low (13–19%) (Mechoulam et al., 2002). It undergoes extensive first pass metabolism and its metabolites are mostly excreted via the kidneys (Huestis, 2007). Plasma and brain concentrations are dose-dependent in animals, and bioavailability is increased with various lipid formulations (Zgair et al., 2016). However, despite the breadth of use of CBD in humans, there is little data on its pharmacokinetics (PK). Analysis and understanding of the PK properties of CBD is critical to its future use as a therapeutic compound in a wide range of clinical settings, particularly regarding dosing regimens and routes of administration. Therefore, the aim of this systematic review was to collate and analyse all available CBD PK data recorded in humans and to highlight gaps in the literature.

METHODS

Search Strategy

The systematic review was carried out in accordance with PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines (Moher et al., 2009). A systematic search of PubMed and EMBASE (including MEDLINE) was conducted to retrieve all articles reporting pharmacokinetic data of CBD in humans. Search terms included: CBD, cannabidiol, Epidiolex, pharmacokinetics, C_{max}, plasma concentrations, plasma levels, half-life, peak concentrations, absorption, bioavailability, AUC, T_{max} , C_{min} , and apparent volume of distribution. No restrictions were applied to type of study, publication year, or language. The searches were carried out by 14 March 2018 by two independent researchers.

Eligibility Criteria

The titles and abstracts of retrieved studies were examined by two independent researchers, and inappropriate articles were rejected. Inclusion criteria were as follows: an original, peerreviewed paper that involved administration of CBD to humans, and included at least one pharmacokinetic measurement as listed in the search strategy.

Data Acquisition

The included articles were analyzed, and the following data extracted: sample size, gender, administration route of CBD, source of CBD, dose of CBD, and any pharmacokinetic details. Where available, plasma mean or median C_{max} (ng/mL) were

plotted against CBD dose (mg). Similarly, mean or median T_{max} and range, and mean or median area under the curve (AUC_{0-1}) and SD were plotted against CBD dose (mg). The source/supplier of the CBD was also recorded. No further statistical analysis was possible due to sparsity of data and heterogeneity of populations used. All studies were assessed for quality using an amended version of the National Institute for Health (NIH), National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, Quality Assessment Tool for Before-After (Pre-Post) Studies with No Control Group (National Institute for Health, 2014). A sample size of \leq 10 was considered poor, between 11 and 19 was considered fair, and \geq 20 was considered good (Ogungbenro et al., 2006).

Definitions of PK Parameters

Tmax: Time to the maximum measured plasma concentration.

 C_{max} : Maximum measured plasma concentration over the time span specified.

 $t_{1/2}$: Final time taken for the plasma concentration to be reduced by half.

AUC0−^t : The area under the plasma concentration vs. time curve, from time zero to "t."

AUC_{0−inf}: The area under the plasma concentration vs. time curve from zero to t calculated as AUC_{0-1} plus the extrapolated amount from time t to infinity.

Kel: The first-order final elimination rate constant.

RESULTS

In total, 792 records were retrieved from the database searching, 24 of which met the eligibility criteria (**Figure 1**). **Table 1** summarizes each included study. Routes of administration included intravenous (i.v.) ($n = 1$), oromucosal spray ($n = 21$), oral capsules ($n = 13$), oral drops ($n = 2$), oral solutions ($n = 1$), nebuliser $(n = 1)$, aerosol $(n = 1)$, vaporization $(n = 1)$, and smoking $(n = 8)$. CBD was administered on its own in 9 publications, and in combination with THC or within a cannabis extract in the remainder. One study was conducted in children with Dravet syndrome, while the remainder were conducted in healthy adult volunteers (Devinsky et al., 2018b). Overall, the included studies were of good quality (**Supplementary Table 1**). However, many studies had small sample sizes. Additionally, not all studies included both males and females, and frequent cannabis smokers were included in a number of studies. Thus, interpretation and extrapolation of these results should be done with caution.

C_{max}, T_{max}, and Area Under the Curve

Within the 25 included studies, Cmax was reported on 58 occasions (for example within different volunteer groups or doses in a single study), T_{max} on 56 occasions and area under the curve (AUC_{0-t}) on 45 occasions. These data from plasma/blood are presented in **Figures 2A–C**. The AUC_{0−t} and C_{max} of CBD is dose-dependent, and T_{max} occurs between 0 and 5h, but does not appear to be dose-dependent.

Oromucosal Drops/Spray

A number of trials in humans were conducted by Guy and colleagues to explore administration route efficiency of sprays, an aerosol, and a nebuliser containing CBD or CBD and THC (CBD dose 10 or 20 mg) (Guy and Flint, 2004; Guy and Robson, 2004a,b). Oromucosal spray, either buccal, sublingual, or oropharyngeal administration, resulted in mean C_{max} between 2.5 and 3.3 ng/mL and mean T_{max} between 1.64 and 4.2 h. Sublingual drops resulted in similar C_{max} of 2.05 and 2.58 ng/mL and T_{max} of 2.17 and 1.67 h, respectively. Other oromucosal single dose studies reported C_{max} and T_{max} values within similar ranges (Karschner et al., 2011; Atsmon et al., 2017b).

Minimal evidence of plasma accumulation has been reported by chronic dosing studies over 5–9 days (Sellers et al., 2013; Stott et al., 2013a). C_{max} appears to be dose-dependent. A dose of 20 mg/day resulted in a mean C_{max} of 1.5 ng/mL and mean AUC_{0−t} of 6.1 h × ng/mL while 60 mg/day equated to a mean C_{max} of 4.8 ng/mL and AUC_{0−t} was 38.9 h × ng/mL (Sellers et al., 2013). In another study, C_{max} increased dose-dependently

ş

(Continued)

from 0.4 to 1.2 and 2.2 ng/mL following 5, 10, and 20 mg single doses, respectively, and from 0.5 to 1.1 and 3.2 ng/mL, respectively following chronic dosing over 9 consecutive days (Stott et al., 2013a). There was a significant increase in timedependent exposure during the chronic treatment. Mean AUC_{0-t} for the single doses were 0.8, 4.5, 9.9, and 2.5, 6.7, and 20.3 for the chronic dosing schedule, respectively. T_{max} does not appear to be dose-dependent, nor affected by acute or chronic dosing schedules.

Stott et al. reported an increase in CBD bioavailability under fed vs. fasted states in 12 men after a single 10 mg dose of CBD administered through an oromucosal spray which also contained THC (Stott et al., 2013a,b). Mean AUC and C_{max} were 5- and 3fold higher during fed conditions compared to fasted (AUC_{0-t} 23.1 vs. 4.5; C_{max} 3.7 vs. 1.2 ng/mL). T_{max} was also delayed under the fed state (4.0 vs. 1.4 h).

In children, Devinsky et al. reported mean AUC as 70, 241, 722, and 963 h \times ng/mL in groups receiving 2.5, 5, 10, and 20 mg/Kg/day of CBD in oral solution (Devinsky et al., 2018b).

Oral Intake

Cmax and AUC following oral administration also appears to be dose dependent. A dose of 10 mg CBD resulted in mean Cmax of 2.47 ng/mL at 1.27 h, and a dose of 400 or 800 mg co-administered with i.v. fentanyl (a highly potent opioid) to examine its safety resulted in a mean C_{max} of 181 ng/mL (at 3.0 h) and 114 ng/mL (at 1.5 h) for 400 mg, and 221 ng/mL (at 3.0 h) and 157 ng/mL (at 4.0 h) for 800 mg, in 2 sessions, respectively (Guy and Robson, 2004b; Manini et al., 2015). A dose of 800 mg oral CBD in a study involving 8 male and female cannabis smokers, reported a mean C_{max} of 77.9 ng/mL and mean T_{max} of 3.0 h (Haney et al., 2016). Although, an increase in dose corresponds with an increase in C_{max}, the C_{max} between the higher doses of CBD does not greatly differ, suggesting a saturation effect (e.g., between 400 and 800 mg).

One hour after oral capsule administration containing 5.4 mg CBD in males and females, mean C_{max} was reported as 0.93 ng/mL (higher for female participants than male) (Nadulski et al., 2005a). A subset ($n = 12$) consumed a standard breakfast meal 1 h after the capsules, which slightly increased mean C_{max} to 1.13 ng/mL. CBD remained detectable for 3-4 h after administration (Nadulski et al., 2005b).

Cherniakov et al. examined the pharmacokinetic differences between an oromucosal spray and an oral capsule with piperine pro-nanolipospheres (PNL) (both 10 mg CBD) in 9 men. The piperine-PNL oral formulation had a 4-fold increase in Cmax (2.1 ng/mL vs. 0.5 ng/mL), and a 2.2-fold increase in AUC_{0-t} (6.9 vs. 3.1 h \times ng/mL), while T_{max} was decreased (1.0 vs. 3.0 h) compared to the oromucosal spray (Cherniakov et al., 2017a). This group further developed self-emulsifying formulations and reported again an increased bioavailability and increased Cmax within a shorter time compared to a reference spray (Atsmon et al., 2017a,b).

Intravenous Administration

The highest plasma concentrations of CBD were reported by Ohlsson et al. following i.v. administration of 20 mg of

Š

FIGURE 2 | (A) Mean or median Tmax (h) and range against CBD dose (mg) (B) mean or median area under the curve (AUC0-t) (h \times ng/mL) and SD against CBD dose (mg) and (C) plasma mean or median concentration max (Cmax; ng/mL) against CBD dose (mg). It was not possible to present error bars for Cmax as SD and SEM were both reported in the data. IV, intravenous; SD, standard deviation; SEM, standard error of the mean.

deuterium-labeled CBD. Mean plasma CBD concentrations were reported at 686 ng/mL (3 min post-administration), which dropped to 48 ng/mL at 1 h.

Controlled Smoking and Inhalation

After smoking a cigarette containing 19.2 mg of deuteriumlabeled CBD, highest plasma concentrations were reported as 110 ng/mL, 3 min post dose, which dropped to 10.2 ng/ml 1 h later (Ohlsson et al., 1986). Average bioavailability by the smoked route was 31% (Ohlsson et al., 1986). A nebuliser resulted in a Cmax of 9.49 ng/mL which occurred at 0.6 h, whereas aerosol administration produced Cmax (2.6 ng/mL) at 2.35 h (Guy and Flint, 2004). In 10 male and female usual, infrequent cannabis smokers, C_{max} was 2.0 ng/mL at 0.25 h after smoking a cigarette containing 2 mg of CBD (Schwope et al., 2011). CBD was detected in 60% of whole blood samples and in 80% of plasma samples at observed C_{max} , and no longer detected after 1.0 h. A study in 14 male and female cannabis smokers reported 15.4% detection in frequent smokers with no CBD detected in occasional smokers in whole blood analysis (Desrosiers et al., 2014). In plasma however, there was a 53.8 and 9.1% detection in the frequent and occasional groups, with corresponding C_{max} of 1.1 ng/mL in the frequent group, and below limits of detection in the occasional group.

Half-Life

The mean half-life $(t_{1/2})$ of CBD was reported as 1.1 and 2.4 h following nebuliser and aerosol administration (20 mg) (Guy and Flint, 2004), 1.09 and 1.97 h following single oral administration (10 and 20 mg) (Guy and Flint, 2004; Guy and Robson, 2004b), 2.95 and 3.21 h following 10 mg oral lipid capsules (Atsmon et al., 2017a,b), between 1.44 and 10.86 h after oromucosal spray administration (5–20 mg) (Guy and Robson, 2004b; Sellers et al., 2013; Stott et al., 2013a,b; Atsmon et al., 2017b), 24 h after i.v. infusion, 31 h after smoking (Ohlsson et al., 1986), and 2–5 days after chronic oral administration (Consroe et al., 1991).

Elimination Rate

Mean elimination rate constant $(K_{el} [1/h])$ has been reported as 0.148 in fasted state, and 0.155 in fed state after 10 mg CBD was administered in an oromucosal spray also containing THC (Stott et al., 2013a,b). After single doses of 5 and 20 mg CBD, mean K_{el} (1/h) was reported as 0.173 and 0.123 (Stott et al., 2013a). Following 20 mg CBD administration through a nebuliser and pressurized aerosol, mean K_{el} was reported as 0.98 and 0.43, respectively, while 20 mg CBD administered as sublingual drops was reported as 0.37 (Guy and Flint, 2004).

Plasma Clearance

Plasma apparent clearance, CL/F (L/h) has been reported to range from 2,546 to 4,741 in a fasted stated following 10 mg CBD administered via oromucosal spray (Stott et al., 2013a,c). This value decreases to 533 following the same concentration in a fed state (Stott et al., 2013b). A plasma apparent clearance of 3,252 and 3,783 was reported following 5 and 20 mg single doses of CBD via oromucosal spray (Stott et al., 2013a). Ohlsson et al. reported plasma apparent clearance as 74.4 L/h following i.v. injection (Ohlsson et al., 1986).

Volume of Distribution

Mean apparent volume of distribution (V/F [L]) was reported as 2,520 L following i.v. administration (Ohlsson et al., 1986). Following single acute doses through oromucosal spray administration, apparent volume of distribution was reported as 26,298, 31,994, and 28,312 L (Stott et al., 2013a).

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to review and analyse all available PK data on CBD in humans. Only 8 publications reported PK parameters after administering CBD on its own, and the others were in combination with THC/cannabis. Only 1 study reported the bioavailability of CBD in humans (31% following smoking). From the analysis of these papers, the following observations were made; peak plasma concentrations and area under the curve (AUC) are dose-dependent and show minimal accumulation; C_{max} is increased and reached faster following i.v., smoking or inhalation; C_{max} is increased and reached faster after oral administration in a fed state or in a pro-nanoliposphere formulation; T_{max} does not appear to be dose-dependent; and half-life depends on dose and route of administration. Overall, considerable variation was observed between studies, although they were very heterogeneous, and further work is warranted.

Human studies administering CBD showed that the AUC_{0-1} and C_{max} are dose-dependent, and T_{max} mostly occurred between 1 and 4 h. Animal studies in piglets, mice, and rats also all demonstrate a dose-dependent relationship between CBD and both plasma and brain concentrations (Long et al., 2012; Hammell et al., 2016; Garberg et al., 2017), suggesting that human brain concentrations will also be dose-dependent. Ten publications in this review reported the half-life of CBD which ranged from 1 h to 5 days and varies depending on the dose and route of administration. Very limited data was available for detailed analysis on the elimination rate, apparent clearance or distribution of CBD in humans.

Plasma levels of CBD were increased when CBD was administered with food or in a fed state, or when a meal is consumed post-administration. Oral capsules with piperine pronanolipospheres also increased AUC and C_{max} . This is also demonstrated in animal studies; co-administration of lipids with oral CBD increased systemic availability by almost 3-fold in rats (Zgair et al., 2016) and a pro-nanoliposphere formulation increased oral bioavailability by about 6-fold (Cherniakov et al., 2017b). As CBD is a highly lipophilic molecule, it is logical that CBD may dissolve in the fat content of food, increasing its solubility, and absorption and therefore bioavailability as demonstrated by numerous pharmacological drugs (Winter et al., 2013). Thus, it may be advisable to administer CBD orally in a fed state to allow for optimal absorption.

Only one study used intravenous administration of CBD and reported PK details, which could be a beneficial route of administration in some acute indications. Results from other routes such as rectal, transdermal, or intraperitoneal have also not been published in humans, although transdermal CBD gel and topical creams have been demonstrated to be

successful in animal studies (Giacoppo et al., 2015; Hammell et al., 2016). Interestingly, intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of CBD corresponded to higher plasma and brain concentrations than oral administration in mice, however in rats, similar concentrations were observed for both administration routes, and brain concentrations were in fact higher following oral compared to i.p. route (Deiana et al., 2012). No published data exists on the tissue distribution of CBD in humans. Although plasma levels of CBD do not show accumulation with repeated dosing, it is possible that there may be tissue accumulation.

Only one study in this review was conducted in children $(n = 34)$ (Devinsky et al., 2018b). Children (4-10 years) with Dravet syndrome were administered an oral solution of CBD and AUC was reported to increase dose-dependently. It is important to emphasize the statement that children are not small adults, and there are many differences in their pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles. Absorption, excretion, metabolism, and plasma protein binding are generally reduced in children compared to adults, and apparent volume of distribution is generally increased (Fernandez et al., 2011). These parameters need to be explored fully for CBD in order to understand and advise dose adjustments.

Within the adult studies, inter- and intra-subject variability was observed in studies, and it remains to be seen whether i.v. and other routes of administration that by-pass initial metabolism will alleviate this issue. Interestingly, although each of the subject's weight was taken into account, none of the studies addressed subject fat content as a factor in their exclusion criteria; as muscle can weigh more than the same proportion of fat. It is well-known that cannabinoids are highly lipophilic compounds and accumulate in fatty tissue which can then be released gradually (Gunasekaran et al., 2009). It may be of benefit in future study to either put in place more stringent exclusion criteria and measure subject fat content or assess the possible accumulation of CBD in fatty tissue. Differences in metabolism, distribution and accumulation in fat, and in biliary and renal elimination may be responsible for prolonged elimination half-life and variable pharmacokinetic outcomes. CBD use is widespread and has been recommended for use by the FDA in childhood-onset epilepsy. CBD also displays therapeutic promise in other disorders such as schizophrenia and post-traumatic stress disorder. If we are to understand the actions of CBD in those disorders and increase the success rate for treatment, these groups of patients and their distinct characteristics must be assessed as they may not be comparable to a healthy volunteer population.

A systematic review in 2014 concluded that CBD generally has a low risk of clinically significant drug-interactions (Stout and Cimino, 2014). A few studies in the current review included examination of drug-drug interactions with CBD. GW Pharmaceuticals performed a clinical trial investigating the pharmacokinetic interaction between CBD/THC spray (sativex) and rifampicin (cytochrome P450 inducer), ketoconazole, and omeprazole (cytochrome P450 inhibitors) (Stott et al., 2013c). Authors concluded overall that CBD in combination with the drugs were well-tolerated, but consideration should be noted when co-administering with other drugs using the CYP3A4

pathway. Caution is also advised with concomitant use of CBD and substrates of UDP-glucuronosyltransferases UGT1A9 and UGT2B7, and other drugs metabolized by the CYP2C19 enzyme (Al Saabi et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2013). Manini et al. coadministered CBD with i.v. fentanyl (a high potency opioid) which was reported as safe and well-tolerated (Manini et al., 2015). In a number of trials with CBD in children with severe epilepsy, clobazam concentrations increased when CBD was coadministered and dosage of clobazam had to be reduced in some patients in one study (Geffrey et al., 2015; Devinsky et al., 2018b). Gaston and colleagues performed a safety study in adults and children in which CBD was administered with commonly-used anti-epileptic drugs (AEDs) (Gaston et al., 2017). Most changes in AED concentrations were within acceptable ranges but abnormal liver function tests were reported in those taking valproate and authors emphasized the importance of continued monitoring of AED concentrations and liver function during treatment with CBD.

Limitations of this review should be acknowledged. Different population types including healthy and patient populations and cannabis naïve or not were all grouped together which may impede generalizability. The proportions of men and women in each study were also not uniform, and it is still being elucidated whether men and women have distinct pharmacokinetic profiles with regards to cannabinoids (Fattore and Fratta, 2010). One study suggested that the PK of CBD was different in their female volunteers (Nadulski et al., 2005a). It should also be mentioned that CBD is currently not an approved product with a pharmacopeia entry so using different sources of CBD that are subject to different polymeric forms, different particle sizes, and different purities may also affect the PK profiles observed. It is important for future work that researchers record the source of the CBD material used so that results have the highest chance of being replicated. Despite a thorough search of the two databases chosen, the addition of more databases may have widened the search to increase the number of results and hence improve the

REFERENCES

- Al Saabi, A., Allorge, D., Sauvage, F. L., Tournel, G., Gaulier, J. M., Marquet, P., et al. (2013). Involvement of UDP-glucuronosyltransferases UGT1A9 and UGT2B7 in ethanol glucuronidation, and interactions with common drugs of abuse. Drug Metab. Dispos. 41, 568–574. doi: 10.1124/dmd.112.047878
- Atsmon, J., Cherniakov, I., Izgelov, D., Hoffman, A., Domb, A. J., Deutsch, L., et al. (2017a). PTL401, a new formulation based on pro-nano dispersion technology, improves oral cannabinoids bioavailability in healthy volunteers. J. Pharm. Sci. 107, 1423–1429. doi: 10.1016/j.xphs.2017.12.020
- Atsmon, J., Heffetz, D., Deutsch, L., Deutsch, F., and Sacks, H. (2017b). Single-Dose pharmacokinetics of oral cannabidiol following administration of PTL101: a new formulation based on gelatin matrix pellets technology. Clin. Pharmacol. Drug Dev. 7:751–758. doi: 10.1002/cpdd.408
- Bergamaschi, M. M., Queiroz, R. H., Zuardi, A. W., and Crippa, J. A. (2011). Safety and side effects of cannabidiol, a Cannabis sativa constituent. Curr. Drug Saf. 6, 237–249. doi: 10.2174/157488611798280924
- Chagas, M. H., Zuardi, A. W., Tumas, V., Pena-Pereira, M. A., Sobreira, E. T., Bergamaschi, M. M., et al. (2014). Effects of cannabidiol in the treatment of patients with Parkinson's disease: an exploratory double-blind trial. J. Psychopharmacol. 28, 1088–1098. doi: 10.1177/0269881114550355

reliability and validity of the findings. However, the review was carried out by two independent reviewers, and searches generated were analyzed separately and then compared.

In conclusion, this review demonstrates the lack of research in this area, particularly in routes of administration other than oral. An absence of studies has led to failure in addressing the bioavailability of CBD despite intravenous formulations being available. This is of critical importance due to the popularity of CBD products and will help interpret other PK values. Standardized and robust formulations of CBD and their PK data are required for both genders, with consideration of other factors such as adiposity, genetic factors that might influence absorption and metabolism, and the effects of disease states.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SM, SO, and AY: substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work. SM: writing of the manuscript. SM and NS: database searching and data extraction. All authors: the analysis and interpretation of data for the work; drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; final approval of the version to be published; agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council [Grant number BB/M008770/1].

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar. 2018.01365/full#supplementary-material

- Cherniakov, I., Izgelov, D., Barasch, D., Davidson, E., Domb, A. J., and Hoffman, A. (2017a). Piperine-pro-nanolipospheres as a novel oral delivery system of cannabinoids: pharmacokinetic evaluation in healthy volunteers in comparison to buccal spray administration. J. Control. Release 266, 1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2017.09.011
- Cherniakov, I., Izgelov, D., Domb, A. J., and Hoffman, A. (2017b). The effect of Pro NanoLipospheres (PNL) formulation containing natural absorption enhancers on the oral bioavailability of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and cannabidiol (CBD) in a rat model. Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 109, 21–30. doi: 10.1016/j.ejps.2017.07.003
- Consroe, P., Kennedy, K., and Schram, K. (1991). Assay of plasma cannabidiol by capillary gas chromatography/ion trap mass spectroscopy following high-dose repeated daily oral administration in humans. Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 40, 517–522. doi: 10.1016/0091-3057(91)90357-8
- Crippa, J. A., Derenusson, G. N., Ferrari, T. B., Wichert-Ana, L., Duran, F. L., Martin-Santos, R., et al. (2011). Neural basis of anxiolytic effects of cannabidiol (CBD) in generalized social anxiety disorder: a preliminary report. J. Psychopharmacol. 25, 121–130. doi: 10.1177/0269881110379283
- Deiana, S., Watanabe, A., Yamasaki, Y., Amada, N., Arthur, M., Fleming, S., et al. (2012)Plasma and brain pharmacokinetic profile of cannabidiol (CBD), cannabidivarine (CBDV), Delta(9)-tetrahydrocannabivarin (THCV) and

cannabigerol (CBG) in rats and mice following oral and intraperitoneal administration and CBD action on obsessive-compulsive behaviour. Psychopharmacology 219, 859–873. doi: 10.1007/s00213-011-2415-0

- Desrosiers, N. A., Himes, S. K., Scheidweiler, K. B., Concheiro-Guisan, M., Gorelick, D. A., and Huestis, M. A. (2014). Phase I and II cannabinoid disposition in blood and plasma of occasional and frequent smokers following controlled smoked cannabis. Clin. Chem. 60, 631–643. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2013.216507
- Devinsky, O., Cross, J. H., and Wright, S. (2017). Trial of Cannabidiol for drugresistant seizures in the Dravet Syndrome. N. Engl. J. Med. 377, 699–700. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc1708349
- Devinsky, O., Patel, A. D., Cross, J. H., Villanueva, V., Wirrell, E. C., Privitera, M., et al. (2018a). Effect of Cannabidiol on drop seizures in the Lennox-Gastaut Syndrome. N. Engl. J. Med. 378, 1888–1897. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1714631
- Devinsky, O., Patel, A. D., Thiele, E. A., Wong, M. H., Appleton, R., Harden, C. L., et al. (2018b). Randomized, dose-ranging safety trial of cannabidiol in Dravet syndrome. Neurology 90, e1204–e1211. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000005254
- Eichler, M., Spinedi, L., Unfer-Grauwiler, S., Bodmer, M., Surber, C., Luedi, M., et al. (2012). Heat exposure of Cannabis sativa extracts affects the pharmacokinetic and metabolic profile in healthy male subjects. Planta Med. 78, 686–691. doi: 10.1055/s-0031-1298334
- Fattore, L., and Fratta, W. (2010). How important are sex differences in cannabinoid action? Br. J. Pharmacol. 160, 544–548. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.2010.00776.x
- Fernandez, E., Perez, R., Hernandez, A., Tejada, P., Arteta, M., and Ramos, J. T. (2011). Factors and mechanisms for pharmacokinetic differences between pediatric population and adults. Pharmaceutics 3, 53–72. doi: 10.3390/pharmaceutics3010053
- Garberg, H. T., Solberg, R., Barlinn, J., Martinez-Orgado, J., Loberg, E. M., and Saugstad, O. D. (2017). High-dose cannabidiol induced hypotension after global hypoxia-ischemia in piglets. Neonatology 112, 143–149. doi: 10.1159/000471786
- Gaston, T. E., Bebin, E. M., Cutter, G. R., Liu, Y., and Szaflarski, J. P. (2017). Interactions between cannabidiol and commonly used antiepileptic drugs. Epilepsia 58, 1586–1592. doi: 10.1111/epi.13852
- Geffrey, A. L., Pollack, S. F., Bruno, P. L., and Thiele, E. A. (2015). Drug-drug interaction between clobazam and cannabidiol in children with refractory epilepsy. Epilepsia 56, 1246–1251. doi: 10.1111/epi.13060
- Giacoppo, S., Galuppo, M., Pollastro, F., Grassi, G., Bramanti, P., and Mazzon, E. (2015). A new formulation of cannabidiol in cream shows therapeutic effects in a mouse model of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Daru 23:48. doi: 10.1186/s40199-015-0131-8
- Gunasekaran, N., Long, L., Dawson, B., Hansen, G., Richardson, D., Li, K., et al. (2009). Reintoxication: the release of fat-stored Δ 9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) into blood is enhanced by food deprivation or ACTH exposure. Br. J. Pharmacol. 158, 1330–1337. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.2009.00399.x
- Guy, G. W., and Flint, M. E. (2004). A single centre, placebo-controlled, four period, crossover, tolerability study assessing, pharmacodynamic effects, pharmacokinetic characteristics and cognitive profiles of a single dose of three formulations of Cannabis Based Medicine Extracts (CBMEs) (GWPD9901), plus a two period tolerability study comparing pharmacodynamic effects and pharmacokinetic characteristics of a single dose of a cannabis based medicine extract given via two administration routes (GWPD9901 EXT). J. Cannabis Ther. 3, 35–77. doi: 10.1300/J175v03n03_03
- Guy, G. W., and Robson, P. J. (2004a). A phase I, double blind, three-way crossover study to assess the pharmacokinetic profile of Cannabis Based Medicine Extract (CBME) administered sublingually in variant cannabinoid ratios in normal healthy male volunteers. J. Cannabis Ther. 3, 121–152. doi: 10.1300/J175v03n04_02
- Guy, G. W., and Robson, P. J. (2004b). A phase I, open label, four-way crossover study to compare the pharmacokinetic profiles of a single dose of 20 mg of a Cannabis Based Medicine Extract (CBME) Administered on 3 different areas of the buccal mucosa and to investigate the pharmacokinetics of CBME per Oral in Healthy Male and Female Volunteers (GWPK0112). J. Cannabis Ther. 3, 79–120. doi: 10.1300/J175v03n04_01
- GW Pharmaceuticals (2018). Subsidiary Greenwich Biosciences Announce FDA Approval of EPIDIOLEX $^{\circledR}$ (Cannabidiol) Oral Solution–The First Plant-Derived Cannabinoid Prescription Medicine.
- Hammell, D. C., Zhang, L. P., Ma, F., Abshire, S. M., McIlwrath, S. L., Stinchcomb, A. L., et al. (2016). Transdermal cannabidiol reduces inflammation and painrelated behaviours in a rat model of arthritis. Eur. J. Pain 20, 936–948. doi: 10.1002/ejp.818
- Haney, M., Malcolm, R. J., Babalonis, S., Nuzzo, P. A., Cooper, Z. D., Bedi, G., et al. (2016). Oral cannabidiol does not alter the subjective, reinforcing or cardiovascular effects of smoked cannabis. Neuropsychopharmacology 41, 1974–1982. doi: 10.1038/npp.2015.367

Hemp Business (2017). Hemp Business Journal. State of Hemp Market Report.

- Huestis, M. A. (2007). Human cannabinoid pharmacokinetics. Chem. Biodivers. 4, 1770–1804. doi: 10.1002/cbdv.200790152
- Izzo, A. A., Borrelli, F., Capasso, R., Di Marzo, V., and Mechoulam, R. (2009). Non-psychotropic plant cannabinoids: new therapeutic opportunities from an ancient herb. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 30, 515–527. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2009.07.006
- Jiang, R., Yamaori, S., Okamoto, Y., Yamamoto, I., and Watanabe, K. (2013). Cannabidiol is a potent inhibitor of the catalytic activity of cytochrome P450 2C19. Drug Metab. Pharmacokinet. 28, 332–338. doi: 10.2133/dmpk.DMPK-12-RG-129
- Karschner, E. L., Darwin, W. D., Goodwin, R. S., Wright, S., and Huestis, M. A. (2011). Plasma cannabinoid pharmacokinetics following controlled oral Δ 9tetrahydrocannabinol and oromucosal cannabis extract administration. Clin. Chem. 57, 66–75. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2010.152439
- Lee, D., Schwope, D. M., Milman, G., Barnes, A. J., Gorelick, D. A., and Huestis, M. A. (2012). Cannabinoid disposition in oral fluid after controlled smoked cannabis. Clin. Chem. 58, 748–756. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2011.177881
- Leweke, F. M., Piomelli, D., Pahlisch, F., Muhl, D., Gerth, C. W., Hoyer, C., et al. (2012). Cannabidiol enhances anandamide signaling and alleviates psychotic symptoms of schizophrenia. Transl. Psychiatry 2:e94. doi: 10.1038/tp. 2012.15
- Long, L. E., Chesworth, R., Huang, X. F., Wong, A., Spiro, A., McGregor, I. S., et al. (2012). Distinct neurobehavioural effects of cannabidiol in transmembrane domain neuregulin 1 mutant mice. PLoS ONE 7:e34129. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0034129
- Manini, A. F., Yiannoulos, G., Bergamaschi, M. M., Hernandez, S., Olmedo, R., Barnes, A. J., et al. (2015). Safety and pharmacokinetics of oral cannabidiol when administered concomitantly with intravenous fentanyl in humans. J. Addict. Med. 9, 204–210. doi: 10.1097/ADM.0000000000000118
- Mechoulam, R., Parker, L. A., and Gallily, R. (2002). Cannabidiol: an overview of some pharmacological aspects. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 42, 11S−19S. doi: 10.1002/j.1552-4604.2002.tb05998.x
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., and Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Ann. Int. Med. 151, 264–269, w64. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-151-4-200908180- 00135
- Nadulski, T., Pragst, F., Weinberg, G., Roser, P., Schnelle, M., Schnelle, M., Fronk, E. M., et al. (2005a). Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study about the effects of Cannabidiol (CBD) on the pharmacokinetics of D9-Tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) after oral application of THC verses standardized cannabis extract. Ther. Drug Monit. 27, 799–810. doi: 10.1097/01.ftd.0000177223.19294.5c
- Nadulski, T., Sporkert, F., Schnelle, M., Stadelmann, A. M., Roser, P., Schefter, T., et al. (2005b). Simultaneous and sensitive analysis of THC, 11-OH-THC, THC-COOH, CBD, and CBN by GC-MS in plasma after oral application of small doses of THC and cannabis extract. J. Anal. Toxicol. 29, 782–789. doi: 10.1093/jat/29.8.782
- Naftali, T., Mechulam, R., Marii, A., Gabay, G., Stein, A., Bronshtain, M., et al. (2017). Low-dose cannabidiol is safe but not effective in the treatment for crohn's disease, a randomized controlled trial. Dig. Dis. Sci. 62, 1615–1620. doi: 10.1007/s10620-017-4540-z
- National Institute for Health (2014). National Lung and Blood Institute Quality Assessment Tool for Before-After (Pre-Post) Studies with No Control Group. Available online at: https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/study-qualityassessment-tools
- Newmeyer, M. N., Desrosiers, N. A., Lee, D., Mendu, D. R., Barnes, A. J., Gorelick, D. A., et al. (2014). Cannabinoid disposition in oral fluid after controlled cannabis smoking in frequent and occasional smokers. Drug Test. Anal. 6, 1002–1010. doi: 10.1002/dta.1632
- Ogungbenro, K., Aarons, L., and Graham, G. (2006). Sample size calculations based on generalized estimating equations for population pharmacokinetic experiments. J. Biopharm. Stat. 16, 135–150. doi: 10.1080/10543400500508705
- Ohlsson, A., Lindgren, J. E., Andersson, S., Agurell, S., Gillespie, H., and Hollister, L. E. (1986). Single-dose kinetics of deuterium-labelled cannabidiol in man after smoking and intravenous administration. Biomed. Environ. Mass Spectrom. 13, 77–83. doi: 10.1002/bms.1200130206
- Schwope, D. M., Karschner, E. L., Gorelick, D. A., and Huestis, M. A. (2011). Identification of recent cannabis use: whole-blood and plasma free and glucuronidated cannabinoid pharmacokinetics following controlled smoked cannabis administration. Clin. Chem. 57, 1406–1414. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2011.171777
- Sellers, E. M., Schoedel, K., Bartlett, C., Romach, M., Russo, E. B., Stott, C. G., et al. (2013). A multiple-dose, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group QT/QTc study to evaluate the electrophysiologic effects of THC/CBD spray. Clin. Pharmacol. Drug Dev.2, 285–294. doi: 10.1002/cpdd.36
- Stott, C., White, L., Wright, S., Wilbraham, D., and Guy, G. (2013c). A Phase I, open-label, randomized, crossover study in three parallel groups to evaluate the effect of Rifampicin, Ketoconazole, and Omeprazole on the pharmacokinetics of THC/CBD oromucosal spray in healthy volunteers. Springerplus 2:236. doi: 10.1186/2193-1801-2-236
- Stott, C. G., White, L., Wright, S., Wilbraham, D., and Guy, G. W. (2013a). A phase I study to assess the single and multiple dose pharmacokinetics of THC/CBD oromucosal spray. Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 69, 1135–1147. doi: 10.1007/s00228-012-1441-0
- Stott, C. G., White, L., Wright, S., Wilbraham, D., and Guy, G. W. (2013b). A phase I study to assess the effect of food on the single dose bioavailability of the THC/CBD oromucosal spray. Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 69, 825–834. doi: 10.1007/s00228-012-1393-4
- Stout, S. M., and Cimino, N. M. (2014). Exogenous cannabinoids as substrates, inhibitors, and inducers of human drug metabolizing enzymes: a systematic review. Drug Metab. Rev. 46, 86–95. doi: 10.3109/03602532.2013.849268
- Swortwood, M. J., Newmeyer, M. N., Andersson, M., Abulseoud, O. A., Scheidweiler, K. B., and Huestis, M. A. (2017). Cannabinoid disposition in oral fluid after controlled smoked, vaporized, and oral cannabis administration. Drug Test. Anal. 9, 905–915. doi: 10.1002/dta.2092
- Thiele, E. A., Marsh, E. D., French, J. A., Mazurkiewicz-Beldzinska, M., Benbadis, S. R., Joshi, C., et al. (2018). Cannabidiol in patients with seizures associated with Lennox-Gastaut syndrome (GWPCARE4): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet 391, 1085–1096. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30136-3
- Winter, H., Ginsberg, A., Egizi, E., Erondu, N., Whitney, K., Pauli, E., et al. (2013). Effect of a high-calorie, high-fat meal on the bioavailability and pharmacokinetics of PA-824 in healthy adult subjects. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 57, 5516–5520. doi: 10.1128/AAC. 00798-13
- Zgair, A., Wong, J. C., Lee, J. B., Mistry, J., Sivak, O., Wasan, K. M., et al. (2016). Dietary fats and pharmaceutical lipid excipients increase systemic exposure to orally administered cannabis and cannabis-based medicines. Am. J. Transl. Res. 8, 3448–3459.

Conflict of Interest Statement: AY was employed by company Artelo Biosciences.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Millar, Stone, Yates and O'Sullivan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.